

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/766,176	01/28/2004	• Henry G. Vaughn	1285-001	3820	
1009 7	7590 09/27/2006		EXAMINER		
KING & SCHICKLI, PLLC			FERGUSON, MICHAEL P		
247 NORTH E LEXINGTON,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
BEAUTOTO, 121 10507			3679		
			DATE MAILED: 00/27/2004	DATE MAIL ED: 09/27/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>^.</u>		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/766,1	76	VAUGHN, HENRY G.				
		Examine		Art Unit				
	·	Michael P	. Ferguson	3679				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	cation appears on the	e cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	Idress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAnsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu period for reply is specified above, the maximum state re to reply within the set or extended period for reply we reply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF TH f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ev nication. utory period will apply and w rill, by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tir ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from dication to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	lon .						
2a)□	-	b) This action is r	on-final.					
3)	<u> </u>							
•—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the ap	plication.		•				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> are subject to restriction	n and/or election re	quirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b)	objected to by the	Examiner.				
٠	Applicant may not request that any object	tion to the drawing(s) I	oe held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t	the correction is requir	ed if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. No	ote the attached Office	Action or form P	ΓO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:)-(d) or (f).				
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
	2. Certified copies of the priority d3. Copies of the certified copies o		, ,		Stago			
	application from the Internation	· ·		eu III tilis ivational	Stage			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	•	• • • •	ed				
Attachmen	t(s)			•				
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Patent Ap								
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/766,176 Page 2

Art Unit: 3679

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-22, drawn to a railing assembly, classified in class 256, subclass
 24.
- Claims 23-26, drawn to a method of manufacturing a railing assembly, classified in class 29, subclass 897.31.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the railing assembly as claimed can be made by another and materially different process, such as molding the design pattern into the single workpiece, opposed to the claimed process step of cutting the design pattern into the workpiece.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Application/Control Number: 10/766,176

Art Unit: 3679

Species 1 shown in Figures 2,4-7,8 and 11-13

Species 2 shown in Figure 9

Species 3 shown in Figure 10

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1,2,5-10 and 17-22 are generic. Figure 1 is generic to all of the above noted species.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

5. A telephone call was made to Michael T. Sanderson on September 19, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

Application/Control Number: 10/766,176

Art Unit: 3679

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael P. Ferguson whose telephone number is (571)272-7081. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on (571)272-7087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3679

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

09/20/06

DANIEL P. STODOLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

aniel P Stodola

Page 5